## Homework 1

## Declan Freeman-Gleason

## February 2023

**Lemma 1.** Every open subset of  $\mathbf{R}$  is homeomorphic to the countable disjoint union of intervals.

*Proof.* Let U be an arbitrary non-empty open subset of **R**. Let  $R = U \times U$  be an equivalence relation where, for arbitrary  $x, y \in U$ , we have  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $[\min\{x, y\}, \max\{x, y\}] \subseteq U$ . The set R is an equivalence relation since:

- 1. Let  $x \in U$  is arbitrary. Since  $[x, x] = \{x\} \subset U$ , we have that  $(x, x) \in R$ .
- 2. Let  $x, y \in U$ . If  $(x, y) \in R$  then  $[\min\{x, y\}, \max\{x, y\}] \subseteq U$ , and since  $[\min\{y, x\}, \max\{y, x\}] = [\min\{x, y\}, \max\{x, y\}] \subseteq U$ , we have that  $(y, x) \in R$ .
- 3. Let  $x, y, z \in U$ . Assume, without loss of generality, that  $x \leq y \leq z$ . If  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $(y, z) \in R$  then  $[x, y] \subseteq U$  and  $[y, z] \subseteq U$ , so  $[x, y] \cup [y, z] = [x, z] \subseteq U$ . Therefore,  $(x, z) \in R$ .

We will write the collection of equivalence classes of R as  $\mathcal{R}$ . Each  $I \in \mathcal{R}$  is a subset of U; equivalence classes partition the set on which the equivalence relation is defined, so each equivalence class is a disjoint subset of U and  $\bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{R}} I = U$ . Let  $I \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $x \in I$  be arbitrary. Since  $I \subseteq U$  and U is open, there exists some  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subseteq U$ . For all  $y \in (x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subseteq U$ , we have that  $[\min\{x, y\}, \max\{x, y\}] \subseteq (x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subseteq U$ , so  $y \sim x$ . This implies that  $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subseteq \{y \in U : y | x \} = I$ , and since x was arbitrary, we have that I is open.

Since I is open we can choose some open interval  $(a, b) \subseteq I$ , and since  $\mathbf{Q}$ is dense, the set  $I \cap \mathbf{Q}$  is nonempty. The rationals are denumerable, so they are well-ordered, which implies that there exists a least element min  $I \cap \mathbf{Q}$ . This gives a function  $\pi^{-1} : \mathcal{R} \to \mathbf{Q} : I \mapsto \min I \cap U$ . Since all  $I \in \mathcal{R}$  are disjoint,  $\pi^{-1}(I) = \pi^{-1}(J)$  implies that I = J, so  $\pi^{-1}$  is injective, and  $\mathcal{R}$  is countable. This gives an injective mapping  $\iota : \mathcal{R} \to \mathbf{N}$ . Let the image of this mapping be  $M = \iota(\mathcal{R})$ . The function  $\iota$  is given by  $\pi^{-1}$  and the function that denumerates  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{R})$  consecutively by applying a total ordering to  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{R})$ ; that is,  $M = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \subseteq \mathbf{N}$  (this is finite or equal to  $\mathbf{N}$ ). We can write a function  $\phi: U \to (0,1) \times M$  given by

$$x \mapsto (\varphi_J(x), \iota(J)),$$

where  $J \in \mathcal{R}$  is the equivalence class of x and  $\varphi_J$  is the homeomorphism from J to (0,1) given by claim 3. We can define  $\phi^{-1}: (0,1) \times M \to U: (y,i) \mapsto \varphi_{\iota^{-1}(i)}^{-1}(y)$ ; this gives that

$$\phi^{-1}(\phi(x)) = \phi^{-1}(\varphi_{[x]}(x), \iota([x])) = \varphi_{\iota^{-1}(\iota([x]))}^{-1}(\varphi_{[x]}(x)) = x,$$

and so  $\phi$  is bijective.

Take M as a metric space with the discrete metric and (0, 1) as a metric space with the usual metric. The direct product of two metric spaces is a metric space, so  $(0, 1) \times M$  is a metric space.

Let  $V \times G \subseteq (0,1) \times M$  be open. This implies that  $G = \{i\}$  for some  $i \in M$ , since M is a discrete metric space and G is open. Then, we have that  $\phi^{-1}(V \times G) = \varphi_{\iota^{-1}(i)}^{-1}(V)$ ; this preimage is open since  $\varphi_{\iota^{-1}(i)}^{-1}$  is continuous. This implies that  $\phi$  is continuous, so  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism.

Since U was arbitrary, we have that every open subset of  $\mathbf{R}$  is homeomorphic to a countable disjoint union of open subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$ , where the disjoint union is constructed with consecutive natural numbers.

**Proposition 2.** There are only countably many non-homeomorphic open subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the collection of all open subsets of **R**. Let  $R = \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}$ , where  $(U, V) \in R$  if and only if U is homeomorphic to V. This is an equivalence relation since:

- 1. Let  $U \in \mathcal{O}$  be an arbitrary open subset of **R**. Since the identity mapping is a homeomorphism, we have that  $(U, U) \in R$ .
- 2. Let  $U, V \in \mathcal{O}$  be arbitrary. If  $(U, V) \in R$ , then there exists a homeomorphism  $\phi : U \to V$ ; this function an inverse  $\phi^{-1} : V \to U$  by definition, and so  $(V, U) \in \mathcal{O}$ .
- 3. Let  $U, V, W \in \mathcal{O}$  be arbitrary. If  $(U, V) \in R$  and  $(V, W) \in R$  then there exist two homeomorphisms  $\phi : U \to V$  and  $\varphi : V \to W$ . The composition of two homeomorphisms is a homeomorphism, so  $\varphi \circ \phi : U \to W$  is a homeomorphism, and  $(U, W) \in R$ .

We can write a function on the set of equivalence classes  $\mathfrak{O}$  given by

$$f: \mathfrak{O} \to \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$$
$$\{U_1, U_2, \ldots\} \mapsto \xi(\phi(U_1))$$

where  $\phi: U_1 \to (0,1) \times M$  is the homeomorphism given by lemma 1 on the first open set in the equivalence class. We showed previously that  $M \subseteq \mathbf{N}$  containing



Figure 1: This little commutative diagram summarizes what we're doing. The identity homeomorphism in this diagram exists if M = N, and  $\phi_U$  and  $\phi_J$  exist by lemma 1.

consecutive numbers. We identify the image of  $\phi$  with a non-negative integer using the function

$$\xi : \{(0,1)\} \times (\{\{1,2,3,\ldots,n\}: n \in \mathbf{Z}^+\} \cup \mathbf{Z}^+) \to \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$$

which maps  $((0,1), \{1,2,3,...,n\}) \mapsto n$  and everything else to ((0,1), 0). We can construct the inverse  $\xi^{-1}$  where  $\xi^{-1}((0,1), 0) = (0,1) \times \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\xi^{-1}((0,1), n) = \{1,2,3,...,n\}$  for all n > 0, so  $\xi$  is injective.

Let  $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots\}, \{V_1, V_2, \ldots\} \in \mathfrak{O}$  be equivalence classes; if  $f(\{U_1, U_2, \ldots\}) = f(\{V_1, V_2, \ldots\})$  then for arbitrary  $U_i$  and  $V_j$ , the homeomorphisms  $\phi_U : U_i \to (0, 1) \times M$  and  $\phi_V : V_j \to (0, 1) \times N$  exist by lemma 1. We know that  $\xi$  applied to the images of  $\phi_U$  and  $\phi_V$  is equal, and so the injectivity of  $\xi$  implies that M = N. This means there is an identity function  $I : (0, 1) \times M \to (0, 1) \times N$ , which is a homeomorphism, to construct a homeomorphism  $\phi_V \circ I \circ \phi_U^{-1}$ . Therefore  $U_i V_j$ ; since equivalence classes are disjoint, we have that  $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots\} = \{V_1, V_2, \ldots\}$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{O}$  is countable, so there are countbly many open subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$  up to homeomorphism.  $\Box$ 

## Appendix

**Claim 3.** Any open interval  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  is homeomorphic to (0, 1).

*Proof.* Let I be an arbitrary open interval, and define

$$\phi: I \to (0,1).$$

If I is bounded, then let  $\phi$  be given by

$$x \mapsto \frac{x-a}{b-a}.$$

This is clearly injective, since for all  $x, y \in I$  we have that  $(x-a)(b-a)^{-1} = (y-a)(b-a)^{-1}$  implies x = y. The function is also surjective, since for all  $z \in (0, 1)$  we have that f(x) = z for  $x = z(b-a) + a \in (0, 1)$ .

If I is the ray  $(a, \infty)$  then let  $\phi$  be given by

$$x \mapsto a + \tan(x).$$

We can construct the function  $\phi^{-1}(x) = \arctan(x-a)$ . The function  $\arctan(x-a)$  exists since  $x \in (0,1)$ . We have that  $\phi(\phi^{-1}(x)) = a + \tan(\arctan(x-a)) = a + x - a$ .

If I is the ray  $(-\infty, a)$ , then we can use the homeomorphism  $x \mapsto -x$  and the argument for the ray  $(a, \infty)$ .

If I is **R**, then let  $\phi$  be given by

$$x \mapsto \tan(x).$$

Since  $x \in (0, 1)$ , we can use the inverse arctan :  $\mathbf{R} \to (0, 1)$ .

In all of the above cases, the function  $\phi$  and its inverse are continuous since they're composed of continuous functions; this gives that  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism.